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### Summary of Key Discussion:

- 5+3 is like the steering committee for Heads of Agencies; No TOR; 5+3 consults other donors before meetings; Communication between 5+3 is helpful; Helps to have structure; Well attended; Transparent; Candid; Allows discussion of differences;  
- UK lead to London; Hosted 5+3 meetings 1 or 2 times a week; follow-up on Heads of Agencies; MoF set up weekly heads of agencies meetings; India and China participated; MoF role in planning and information sharing was praised; UNAMA facilitated London Communiqué and has overall been helpful;  
- There was a JCMB right before London; CEO’s office brought up Realizing Self Reliance; Shows initiative; Priorities are there in general; How does this line up is the question; Donors were asked for portfolio reviews; Proactively got together; USAID has mapped out RSR and looked at USAID programs to see where there are gaps;  
- MAF is NB; TMAF was useful; Look to government; Waiting to see; MAF conditionality/incentives need to align; looking to guarantee result;  
- ARTF is good at partnering;  

### Follow-up:

- None